Peri-operative imaging techniques of the sentinel lymph node in veterinary oncology
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ABSTRACT

When cancer cells spread through lymphatic vessels, the first lymph node they reach is the sentinel lymph node (SLN). Hence, if the SLN is free from metastatic cells, it can be assumed that further lymph nodes will be free of metastatic tumor cells too. If metastatic tumor cells are identified in the SLN, the patient is at risk of developing distant metastatic disease. In that case, further diagnostic and therapeutic steps are needed and the patient’s prognosis gets worse. The SLN can be located at an anatomically unpredictable location. This is likely due to lymphangiogenesis, which alters the normal lymphatic pathway and drains the metastatic cells to another lymph node than the expected anatomically closest one. Therefore, identifying the SLN by an accurate mapping technique is necessary. In this article, the existing techniques for SLN mapping are reviewed, and their use in human and veterinary medicine is compared.

SAMENVATTING

Wanneer kankercellen zich via de lymfevaten verspreiden, bereiken ze eerst de schildwachtlymfeknoop (SLK). Daarom kan ervan uitgegaan worden dat, als de SLK vrij is van metastasen, verdere lymfeknopen dit ook zullen zijn. Wanneer echter wel metastatische cellen aanwezig zijn in de SLK, is er een reëel risico dat de patiënt verre metastasen zal ontwikkelen en zijn er verder onderzoek en bijkomende behandeling nodig. Ook de prognose wordt hierdoor negatief beïnvloed. De SLK kan zich op onverwachte anatomiche locaties situeren. Dit is waarschijnlijk te wijten aan lymfangiogenese, waardoor de normale lymfatische route gewijzigd wordt en de kankercellen naar een andere lymfeknoop draineren dan verwacht. Bijgevolg is het identificeren van de SLK door een nauwkeurige mappingtechniek noodzakelijk. In dit overzichtsartikel worden de gangbare SLK-mappingtechnieken besproken en het gebruik ervan in de humane en de diergeneesekunde vergeleken.

INTRODUCTION

Metastatic spread is one of the most important causes of death in both human and canine cancer patients (Bonnett et al., 1997; Warland et al., 2014). Dissemination mostly occurs through blood or lymphatic vessels, depending on the tumor type (Farese et al., 2018). When cancer cells leave the primary tumor site and primarily intravasate into the lymphatic capillaries, they are drained to the so-called sentinel lymph node (SLN) (Christiansen and Detmar, 2011). This lymph node (LN) is the first one to be invaded.
by metastatic cells. In a later stage, the SLN acquires the capacity to shed cancer cells that further spread through the efferent lymphatic system and reach other LNs and eventually, mostly through the thoracic duct and subclavian veins, the venous circulation and distant organs (Sleeman et al., 2009).

The SLN is the first and major metastatic site for many solid cancer types with a lymphatic spread (García-Caballero et al., 2017; Farese et al., 2018). The concept of SLN is well-studied in human medicine, where its relevance as an indicator for metastatic spread has been commonly accepted since the nineties of the previous century (Morton et al., 1992; Thompson et al., 1995). Identification of the SLN reveals valuable information for cancer staging (Glass et al., 1995; Thompson et al., 1995; Morton et al., 2003). The histological status of this LN gives important information regarding the prognosis and the potential need for adjuvant therapy (Shaw et al., 1985; Morton et al., 2003; Jimbo et al., 2020). Indeed, if the SLN is positive for cancer cells, the patient is at high risk for distant metastases and further investigation is required (Turner et al., 1997; Worley 2014). On the other hand, if the SLN is negative for cancer cells on both hematoxylin and eosin stain and immunohistochemistry, it can be assumed that anatomically further located LNs will also be free of metastatic tumor cells (Turner et al., 1997; Worley 2014).

It cannot be assumed that the anatomically closest LN is always the SLN, since other LNs may also function as sentinels (Worley, 2014). Knowing the exact location and status of the SLN could spare many patients from useless radical surgical LN excision and its associated morbidities (Goyal and Mansel, 2004; Soares et al., 2014). On the other hand, routine SLN mapping and extirpation of the SLN in patients with tumor types prone to lymphogenic spread, lead to a personalized staging approach, identifying those patients that will benefit from adjuvant therapy (Shaw et al., 1985; Morton et al., 2003; Jimbo et al., 2020).

Compared to human medicine, SLN mapping in veterinary medicine is still in its infancy. The majority of veterinary clinicians assess the peripheral LNs during physical examination and, if considered enlarged on palpation, a fine-needle aspiration (FNA) is performed (Fournier et al., 2018). However, the association between LN size and metastatic status has proven not to be reliable in all cases (Williams and Packer, 2003; Brissot and Edery, 2017; Farese et al., 2018). Williams and Packer (2003) studied the LN size as a predictive tool for the presence of metastasis. Sensitivity and specificity of LN size for the prediction of metastatic disease were 70 and 51%, respectively, whereas the positive and negative predictive values were 62 and 60%, respectively. Forty percent of the dogs with microscopic metastatic disease had normal-sized LNs. In other words, enlarged LNs do not necessarily contain metastatic disease, and, more importantly, normal-sized LNs can contain metastases. FNA is a simple, low-cost and minimal invasive technique with a low risk of complications and doesn’t necessarily require sedation of the dog (Łukasiewicz et al., 2017). However, the sensitivity of FNA to detect metastatic disease in a LN is not very high (Barui et al., 2017). Acquiring multiple samples from the same LN decrease the likelihood of false negatives, but this might be challenging in clinical practice (Barui et al., 2017). Another important limitation of FNA is that not all LNs are reachable for palpation and/or FNA and patients can be inaccurately staged when their SLN does not correspond to the anatomically expected one (Rossi et al., 2018).

There is an obvious need for more accurate methods to evaluate regional LNs in veterinary oncology. In companion animals, the SLN concept has been investigated in only a few studies, and SLN mapping studies are often performed in a limited population and/or for selected tumor types (Rossi et al., 2007; Mayer et al., 2012; Mayer et al., 2013; Worley, 2014; Beserra et al., 2016; Brissot and Edery, 2016; Soulta et al., 2016; Grimes et al., 2017; Majeski et al., 2017; Favril et al., 2018; Fournier et al., 2020).

**LYMPHOGENIC VERSUS HEMATOGENIC METASTATIC SPREAD**

Metastases are directly or indirectly responsible for more than 90% of all human cancer deaths (Sporn, 1996). The spread of metastatic cells can occur in three different ways: through blood vessels, lymphatic vessels or, in some cases, by direct seeding (Farese et al., 2018). In general, the primary tumor uses both lymphatic and hematogenous pathways (Farese et al., 2018). Certain types of cancer prioritize one way of dissemination over the other (Wong and Hynes, 2006). For example, mesenchymal tumors like sarcomas mostly spread through blood vessels, and epithelial tumors such as round cell tumors and carcinomas rather spread through the lymphatic system (Farese et al., 2018). Even after lymphatic dissemination, the tumor cells can eventually join the blood circulation (Wong and Hynes, 2006; Sleeman et al., 2009).

**SENTINEL LYMPH NODE MAPPING TECHNIQUES**

The general principle of SLN mapping is based on the contrast injection in four quadrants around the tumor followed by imaging of the contrast in the regional lymphatics and SLN. In tumors such as oral malignant melanoma and mast cell tumors in dogs, the draining LN(s) often differ from the anatomically closest LNs (Tuohy and Milgram, 2009; Worley, 2014; Skinner and Boston, 2016). This counterintuitive fact could be partially explained by the ability of certain tumors to induce new growth of peri-tumoral lyn-
phatic vessels, hereby altering the lymphatic route, as also observed in human cancer patients (Joukov et al., 1996). The unpredictability of the location of the SLN and the number of SLNs emphasizes the importance of accurate and accessible mapping techniques.

**Lymphoscintigraphy**

Lymphoscintigraphy is a functional technique based on gamma scans. It involves the peri-tumoral injection of small amounts of a radioactive tracer such as technetium-99m labelled colloids (Yoshida et al., 2016). This tracer is taken up by the lymphatic vessels and emits energy in the form of gamma radiation (Yoshida et al., 2016). On the one hand, the technique can be used pre-operatively to gain more information about the lymphatic drainage and to detect the SLN (Husarik and Steinert, 2007). In this case, planar imaging is necessary to obtain anatomical details. On the other hand, the surgeon may use this technique intra-operatively, using a hand-held gamma probe to guide himself towards the SLN, which emits the highest amount of radiation (Alex and Krag, 1993). However, if the SLN is located close to the tumor, the high radioactivity at the injection site can cause interference with the signal emitted by the SLN (Heuveling et al., 2012). It is also possible that the radioactive marker moves through the SLN into secondary nodes, which then emit a higher radioactive signal than the SLN itself, which can lead to misdiagnosis (Suga et al., 2003). In veterinary medicine, only a few institutions have nuclear medicine capabilities and relevant accreditation to perform lymphoscintigraphy, and the technique itself exposes both patient and surgical staff to ionizing radiation (Yokohata et al., 2016).

**Colorimetric sentinel lymph node mapping**

The technique of colorimetric SLN mapping is based on direct visualization of the SLN (Vidal-Sicart et al., 2013). Within five to 15 minutes after peri-tumoral injection of dyes, the afferent lymphatic vessels and the SLN stain (Vidal-Sicart et al., 2013). However, direct visualization is only possible if there is no tissue superimposed on the stained structures, which is typically the case for LNs, which are often imbedded in fatty tissue (Worley, 2014).

In human medicine, the standard dyes used for colorimetric SLN mapping are methylene blue, isosulfan blue and patent blue (Newman, 2004). An injection with the latter two carries some risk to provoke an allergic reaction or even anaphylaxis in rare cases, whereas methylene blue dye can cause tissue reaction evolving to skin and/or fat necrosis (Salhab et al., 2005), and/or temporary tattooing of the skin (Varghese et al., 2008). It is not recommended to exclusively rely on colorimetric techniques as the identification rate is lower compared to radioactive techniques such as lymphoscintigraphy (Niebling et al., 2016). Therefore, in human medicine, the combination of lymphoscintigraphy and blue dyes is seen as the golden standard for the visualization of the SLN (Reintgen et al., 2015).

In veterinary oncology, in a few studies, the use of methylene blue dye has been reported for SLN mapping, which is often described in association with another mapping technique (Brissot and Edery, 2016; Ferrari et al., 2018; Liptak and Boston, 2019) (Figure 1). The risks for side effects, such as tissue reaction and allergies following the injection of dyes, have not been reported yet in veterinary medicine (Liptak and Boston, 2019). Although extremely uncommon, Heinz-body anemia has been associated with the injection of methylene blue (Houston and Myers, 1993). Colorimetric SLN mapping can be used as a direct visual help during surgery to localize the affected LNs in real time. Furthermore, when colored, LNs are visible in the surgical field, more precise LN resection can be performed, and surrounding tissue is expected to be less traumatized than blind dissection (Worley, 2014).

**Indirect radiographic lymphography**

Indirect radiographic lymphography is based on the local injection of a radiopaque contrast agent peri-tumoral (Brissot and Edery, 2017) (Figure 2). Subsequently, the contrast agent is absorbed and drained by the lymphatic vessels and identification of contrast enhanced LN and lymphatic vessels is evaluated on serial radiographs (Brissot and Edery, 2017). Although radiographic lymphography has been used for decades in human medicine for diagnosing pathologic conditions of the LN and lymphatics, there are, to the authors’ best knowledge, no published reports to document its clinical relevance as a SLN mapping technique in human medicine.

There have been few veterinary clinical trials in cancer-bearing dogs that describe the clinical value of indirect lymphography (Patsikas et al., 2006; Brissot and Edery, 2017). Patsikas et al. (2006) investigated the lymph drainage of 41 intact bitches with mammary gland cancer, using indirect radiographic lymphography before surgery in each case. They concluded that the lymph drainage pattern differs in dogs with cancer from that in healthy dogs. Brissot and Edery (2017) studied thirty palpable tumors in 29 dogs. The SLN was identified by indirect lymphography in 96% of the cases, and the agreement between pre-operative indirect radiographic lymphography and intra-operative peri-tumoral injection of methylene blue dye nearly reached 85%. Some LNs could not be found or did not have a blue color during surgical excision. It has been suggested that the difference in agreement between both techniques can be related to the fact that some anatomical areas are more challenging for surgical exploration and visualization of colored LNs than others (Morton et al., 2012). Additionally, differences
in the density of the regional lymphatic networks can result in a different clearance time of the blue dyes (Nakamura and Otsuka, 2013).

Some swelling and erythema in canine patients might be observed at the injection site of the radiographic contrast agent as well as at the level of the LN, but these complications can be considered minor (Mayer et al., 2013).

**Computed tomography lymphography**

Computed tomography (CT) lymphography is a 3D imaging technique based on contrast injection, comparable to radiographic lymphography (Shen et al., 2014). A popular contrast agent for radiographic and CT lymphography is an ethiodized oil (Lipiodol, Guerbet Laboratories, United Kingdom), because of its preferred passage through the lymphatic vessels and long retention in the lymphatic system (up to several months) (Guermazi et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2013). CT lymphography provides details about the SLN without superimposition of the surrounding tissues (Hayashi et al., 2006) (Figure 3). In human medicine, this technique has achieved rates of 97.3% for SLN detection and it is routinely used as an alternative to lymphoscintigraphy and blue dye injection (Mottmura et al., 2013).

Similar conclusions concerning the efficacy of the technique have been made in veterinary medicine (Soultani et al., 2016; Grimes et al., 2017; Majeski et al., 2017; Rossi et al., 2018). In their prospective pilot study, Rossi et al. (2018) described this technique as feasible in dogs; the SLN becomes visible between one and three minutes post injection (Rossi et al., 2018). However, the technique has some limitations. First of all, it remains challenging to localize the SLN during surgery if preoperative CT lymphography has been the only mapping technique performed. A potential solution in dogs can be a simple preoperative marking of the SLN with a pen or needle device (Suga et al., 2003). Secondly, some local side effects such as inflammation, swelling and discomfort after local injection of iodinated contrast agent might occur in less than 1% of the dogs, although these issues have not received much attention in the human literature (Rossi et al., 2018). More severe complications such as cutaneous and subcutaneous necrosis may occur due to perivascular presence of the contrast agent (Cohan et al., 1991; Elam and Dorr, 1991). Finally, as described for lymphoscintigraphy, an imaging artifact can be caused by the strong enhancement of the injected contrast agent, especially if the tumor and the site of injection are very close to the SLN (Rossi et al., 2018).

**Magnetic resonance lymphography**

Magnetic resonance (MR) lymphography is an imaging mapping technique with the same concept as CT and radiographic lymphography (Suga et al., 2004).
This uncommonly used technique allows identification of the SLN as well as the corresponding lymphatic vessels in a 3D reconstruction by using small, hydrophilic gadolinium(III) based chelates (Wahsner et al., 2019). In human medicine, MR lymphography based on superparamagnetic iron oxide for SLN detection as an alternative to lymphoscintigraphy is used in lung and breast cancer patients (Nakagawa et al., 2003; Shiozawa et al., 2013).

In dogs, there has been one study about the use of MR SLN imaging in prostate cancer patients (Turkbey et al., 2015), but no clinical use has been reported yet.

**Single-photon emission computed tomography and positron emission tomography lymphography**

The combination of radioisotopes with single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) was first described by Kulh and Edwards in 1963. SPECT provides an interesting anatomical mapping of the lymphatic system thanks to the combination of preoperative scintigraphy and the CT lymphography (Naaman et al., 2016). Positron emission tomography (PET) on the other hand can detect SLN metastases as accurately as distant organ ones, but cannot detect micro-metastases (Chang et al., 2012).

Similar to lymphoscintigraphy, both techniques can only be performed in specialized facilities, which hinders their use in veterinary medicine (Beer et al., 2018).

**Contrast-enhanced ultrasound**

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) uses small gas-filled microbubbles of a diameter of 2 to 10 μm with a phospholipid membrane (Xie et al., 2015). After peri-tumoral injection, the contrast agent is taken up by the regional lymphatic vessels and drains to the SLN(s) within five to 67 seconds and remains visible for up to approximately three to four minutes (Vidal-Sicart et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2015). The microbubbles are enhanced by ultrasound waves, which make them oscillate, reflecting a signal a few times higher than that of the surrounding tissue (Blomley et al., 2001). It has been suggested that a uniformly increased echogenicity relates to SLNs free of metastases. On the contrary, when the SLNs shows incomplete contrast uptake, it might be infiltrated by metastatic cells (Goldberg et al., 2004; Cantisani et al., 2015).

In veterinary medicine, there are, to the authors’ knowledge, three studies about the reliability of CEUS for SLN mapping in dogs (Lurie et al., 2006; Favril et al., 2019; Fournier et al., 2020) and one in pigs (Li et al., 2012) (Figure 4). In all of them, it was concluded that CEUS is effective in localizing the SLN (up to 100% identification rate). Furthermore, the procedure is safe, practical, inexpensive, with the advantage of transcutaneous, real-time visualization of the SLN (Favril et al., 2019). In one large study in dogs and cats, side effects after CEUS have been reported to occur in <1% of the cases, and include vomiting and syncope (Seiler et al., 2013). The main disadvantages of the technique are the variability between operators (Fournier et al., 2020), and the difficulty of identifying lymphatic vessels superimposed on for example bone due to signal interference (Favril et al., 2019).

**Near-infrared fluorescence imaging**

Near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging is an optical imaging technique that can provide real-time pre-operative and intra-operative visualization of the afferent lymphatic tract and SLN (Mayer et al., 2012; Wishart et al., 2012). A fluorescent contrast agent is injected in four quadrants around the tumor, taken up by the lymphatics and drained to the SLN (Schaafsma et al., 2011). Excitation light is emitted in the NIR spectrum and then absorbed by the administered fluorescent agent (Sevick-Muraca, 2012). The mostly used fluorescent agent is Indocyanine Green (ICG). Several camera systems can detect the emitted light and translate it to real-time images (Sevick-Muraca, 2012).

Two main advantages have been reported for NIR imaging. First, when used pre-operatively, it enables the transcutaneous visualization of fluorescent dyes, avoiding a surgical dissection of the overlying tissue (Hirche et al., 2010). Secondly, since NIR light is not visible to the human eye (Schaafsma et al., 2011), it
doesn’t alter the appearance of the operation field and the learning curve is steep (Vahrmeijer et al., 2013). Also, an equal or even slightly higher sensitivity has been reported in comparison with lymphoscintigraphy and blue dyes (Verbeek et al., 2014). Nevertheless, only a penetration depth of 8-10 mm is possible (Ishizawa et al., 2009; Giacalone et al., 2011).

Similar conclusions have been made in veterinary medicine. In one study in healthy Beagle dogs, 17 out of 18 SLN were identified using this technique and no major complications have been reported (Favril et al., 2019) (Figure 4).

ASSESSMENT OF THE SENTINEL LYMPH NODE’S STATUS

Once the SLN has been identified, FNA and excisional biopsy are often used to assess the metastatic status and estimate the extent of the patient’s disease (Liptak and Boston, 2019). Histopathology is always recommended, certainly after obtaining a negative or non-diagnostic result with FNA (Fournier et al., 2018). In humans with cutaneous melanoma, SLN biopsy has successfully replaced elective node dissection (Morton et al., 2014). Although it is rather unlikely that a non-invasive imaging technique will bypass the need for histopathology, there are preliminary indications that advanced imaging might become more accurate to predict metastatic disease in the SLN. In human oncology patients, a relationship between the opacification pattern of the nodes examined by CT lymphangiography and their histological status has been suggested (Soultani et al., 2017). A homogenous distribution of the contrast has been linked to SLNs being free of metastases, whereas a heterogenous pattern has been associated with the presence of metastases. In rare cases with no contrast uptake in the SLN despite the visible afferent lymphatics, metastatic obstruction of the lymphatic flow is suspected (Soultani et al., 2017). Likewise, based on the intensity and homogeneity of the signals, the presence of lymph metastases in humans could be reliably predicted by using specific MR contrast agents (Motomura et al., 2011). Similar observations have not yet been described in companion animals with metastatic disease.

CONCLUSION

It goes without saying that the SLN is a central aspect in staging cancer patients. However, the identification of the SLN is still not exploited in a routine way in veterinary medicine. This can probably be explained by the fact that veterinarians know too little about it and have a poor awareness of its value, and, more importantly, because no easy and/or cheap mapping techniques are accessible yet. On the contrary, human oncology already commonly makes use of the SLN to assess cancer patients, and therefore fully investigates and exploits different SLN mapping techniques.

The human golden standard, lymphoscintigraphy with pre-operative injection of blue dyes, has shown great potential in veterinary medicine but remains difficult to implement, mostly due to the lack of abled institutions. CT lymphography is routinely used in human medicine and is a feasible technique for veterinary oncology. It gives a fast and precise assessment of the LN, but is difficult to use intra-operatively and the injection of iodinated contrast may show some side effects. CEUS, SPECT/PET and NIR imaging are emerging, promising techniques in human medicine, which have so far been barely studied in veterinary medicine.

Further improvements of the SLN mapping techniques might be expected by the exchange of knowledge and practices between human and veterinary medicine.
researchers. Both human and veterinary oncology would benefit from an improvement in the diagnostic possibilities in dogs with cancer. Dogs would be diagnosed more accurately and benefit from the new discoveries of human researchers, while human oncologists could exploit additional information through canine models, where the comparability and translational applications would be considerably improved.
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Blijde intrede tussen reikhalzende collega’s
Magdeleen De Vlieghere
Eerste West-Vlaamse vrouwelijke dierenarts (1966)

“Het was een namiddag vol verrassingen. De eerste vrouwelijke dierenarts, doctores Magdeleen De Vlieghere deed haar blijde intrede in onze kring tussen reikhalzende collega’s. De zware taak om haar aan te stellen tot volwaardige West-Vlaamse dierenarts werd op de brede schouders van Dr. D’hoore geworpen. De erevoorzitter aanvaardde likkebaardend. Hij trad in bange en blijde verwachting op het podium en overhandigde haar een doosje pralines. De vergadering eiste van de erevoorzitter een omhelzing, die hij secundum artem uitvoerde. Hiermede bewees hij nogmaals dat wij nog steeds veel van hem kunnen leren.”

Uit het ‘Verslag van de vergadering van de West-Vlaamse Dierenartsenvereniging te Loppem in het K.I.-centrum op 4 december 1966’. Tekst van de secretaris Dr. Kamiel Quintens opgenomen in het verslagboek 1959-1975 van de vereniging bewaard in de collectie Diergeneeskundig Verleden in de faculteit te Merelbeke

Luc Devriese