THE EVENTS OF MARCH AND THE „VOORUIT”

Mark A. VANDERHEYDEN

The aloofness or non-involvement of the independent press and to a great degree, of the Liberal press as well, contrasted sharply with the emotional reactions of the Catholic papers. The Socialist press, however, is beyond any doubt, the most „engaged” in social affairs and hence one finds Vooruit the most fascinating of all the papers in Ghent in this year of turmoil.

The Socialist mouthpiece, from its very beginnings, has been dealing with the entire gamut of social issues and the March events only intensify the paper’s eagerness to communicate and to inculcate its readers with Socialist philosophy. Besides the summary-type news reports on the events themselves, the major part of the small four page paper is devoted to social problems in the form of editorials, letters, articles or short stories. The tone of these writings can be angry or enthusiastic, plaintive of rude, but, in general, one must admit it reached the level of understanding of the readers and hence, was successful.

During the early weeks of March the question of universal suffrage is frequently debated and related to the planned demonstration of June 13 in Brussels. Vooruit discusses, at length, the involvement of the anarchists in the outbreak of the turmoil in Liège. It is only by the time that the confusion and rioting has spread to the Borinage that the paper begins to take the side of the strikers, mostly because of the harsh repressive measures by the army. This belated sympathy for the strikers does not mean that Vooruit was unsympathetic to their cause. It was definitely a matter of expediency because the paper did not want to be associated with the „criminals and vandals” of the early days. After the first week, Vooruit has taken a firm position and begins to withdraw from the initial defensive stand to a more aggressive attitude. This aggressiveness is combined with its first suggestions to remedy the terrible social situations in the country.

The initial proposal is a practical one: to send food to the disaster areas. When people are fed, they will no longer burn and loot, the paper argues. Furthermore, there is an immediate need for negotiations between strikers and management and last, but not least, no more troops should be dispatched. Several subscriptions are started by the paper to get funds to help those workers who have been dismissed by their employers or to pay the legal fees for those who are brought before the courts. Since the Socialist paper is convinced that the „great and only instigator of the strikes is
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hunger" it is not surprising that the paper appeals constantly to the readers to contribute foodstuffs for the stricken workers. At regular intervals, the Vooruit publishes the number of bread loaves which have been collected. (April 2, p. 4, c. 1).

Even more regular is the appeal to organize — an appeal that is increasingly urgent after the army has killed several strikers. Besides a better organization for the workers, the chief goal remains the effort for universal suffrage: This particular demand, a little in the background during the first week of the trouble, gains more and more attention and is soon presented as the sole means of salvation. "Universal suffrage will give the people all the power they need. They will become the masters of the State and they will be in a position to change the terrible system of property. Only then will the workers be free and no longer slaves — only when they are granted the franchise." The question of changes in the franchise is frequently debated in the heated context of a polemic with either the Flandre Libérée or the Catholic Gentenaar. Other occasions to emphasize the importance of universal suffrage are provided at the many Socialist meetings, which always include this issue on the agenda. The striking coal miners are reported also as having adopted as their slogan: "Long live Universal Suffrage! Long live the Republic!"

The Vooruit daily prints an identical appeal to the workers to register as voters. This means an examination at the City Hall which will qualify the workers to vote, at least, in the communal elections of 1887. This test makes the worker an "able or proficient voter" and it gives him the power to defend his interests on the local level. Those who can make it have the grave duty to do so, argues Vooruit repeatedly.
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Next to the demand for enlarged franchise — from the appeal to register for the communal elections to the demand for universal suffrage —, the Socialist press is convinced of the necessity to establish a legal code to protect work and workers 10.

At the end of March, a delegation of five is sent to Prime Minister Beer-naert to present a manifesto at the National Council of the Workers' Party. Vooruit notes bitterly that the prime minister was absent and that the manifesto had to be communicated to an aide. The document itself depicts the situation in Liége, Charleroi and elsewhere and blames the bourgeoisie and the government for the things which happened in the country. The cabinet is attacked for remaining unconcerned and inactive with regard to the working classes. The workers Party’s manifesto concludes with a summary of remedies which must be initiated and applied immediately. Great public works are requested to provide more job opportunities; a regulation of working hours is imperative; the abolition of taxes on foodstuffs and the abolition of the lottery system for conscription; and the introduction of universal suffrage. It is quite significant that the Workers' Party, in an official document to the cabinet, lists the question of franchise at the bottom of the list of grievances. Not without significance is the last paragraph of the manifesto which is definitely in a threatening tone and states that „in case the government does not take serious measures that there 'could' be an outbreak of a general strike all over the country. The consequences of such a strike would fall upon the ruling classes.” 11

With respect to the immediate problem of the coal miners' strike, the Vooruit believes that the solution of this economic crisis can only be found in a take-over of this industry by the State and by its management by the trade unions. These workers' associations have to be Socialist of course, since they constitute the only guarantee for peace and order. Indeed, the paper points out that in those villages and towns where a strong Socialist organization is present, there is no violence or looting. Hence the conclusion: „that the people are peaceful where they are best organized and most Socialistic.” 13 The desire for organization is also regularly expressed by readers in letters to the editor. These requests come mostly from the country-side in East Flanders and deal with the particular situations of the workers there. The requests originate in the angry sentiments of the workers who are irritated by the unwillingness of the employers and officials to listen to their legitimate complaints. These complaints do not only include insufficient wages and too many hours of work but also the poor
housing conditions and the excessive rents. The forementioned issues, together with work conditions, form the agenda of the Convention of the Belgian Workers' Party, scheduled for April 25 and 26 in Ghent. One cannot overlook the fact that the otherwise overriding issue of universal suffrage does not appear on the agenda of the convention.

When the Supreme Court in Brussels grants permission to the mayors of cities and towns to forbid the sale of certain newspapers in the streets, the Vooruit is understandably infuriated. Indeed the court order is certainly directed against the Socialist press, the Vooruit and the Le Peuple (Brussels) in particular. Yet, in a way, the paper welcomes this unjust decision because „persecutions will make us stronger, and will give us more enthusiasm and faith.” Moreover, it gives the Socialist press the unique privilege of defending the inalienable right of the freedom of the press, which of course puts the Liberals in an awkward position. The Vooruit editors play very skillfully the role of martyrs and they admit to their readers that this kind of unjust measures will precipitate the change in the social conditions since it accelerated the destruction of the bourgeois monopolies.

Rienzi, a regular contributor to the Vooruit, occasionally devotes an editorial to the question of „class-struggle”. By the middle of April, he becomes quite vehement in advocating a life or death conflict between capitalism and Socialism. The time has come for the final battle since one witnesses armies splitting up to join the Socialist cause. Furthermore, the workers are no longer willing to accept compromises: „the fight will be long and bloody but Justice will triumph.”

In order to spread Socialism „one has to use the tongue of the nineteenth century”, Vooruit exclaims in great enthusiasm on the day the paper acquires a brand new printing press. It will be the best propaganda tool possible and Vooruit will be equipped to realize the dream to make the people the masters in the state, to regulate work, to abolish poverty and to introduce equality. „The new press will lead to the ultimate triumph of Socialism” may seem a somewhat exuberant slogan but to the Vooruit it was an honest conviction and the paper was proven right by history: the press became the most forceful propaganda tool for Socialism. Besides the food stores, clothing stores and pharmacies, the Vooruit had in its daily paper the best instrument to gain the sympathy of the workers in Ghent and its environment.

The newly equipped newspaper is highly critical of the Commission du Travail, instituted by the government. In the first place, the paper argues, the Commission is a perfect device from the government's point of view since every complaint of the working classes can now be channeled into
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this „dead end street.” Moreover, the selection of the members proves the dishonest intentions of the government: all of them capitalists and the majority are Catholics. University professors, priests, politicians, lawyers and engineers are totally oblivious to the social conditions of the lower classes. Since there is not a single worker on the board, the paper fears that nothing substantial and truthful can be expected from these „comedians.”

In the middle of April, the rumor reaches Vooruit that many coal miners in Jumet have formed an organization which plans to demand that the mayors of the Bassin (Charleroi) negotiate between the strikers and the management of the mines. If this effort fails, the association intends to appeal to the King for help. If this step also fails to bring about serious social legislation, then the coal miners will emigrate to the United States as a last refuge. The Vooruit is exasperated by this suggestion and calls the miners naive. Not only the idea to appeal to the monarch but much more the idea of emigration aggravates the Socialist paper. To leave the country is to admit defeat! And to move from Belgian capitalism to American is worse! Moreover, the paper fears that the best workers will leave first and this will make the struggle even more difficult for the others. The Vooruit expresses the hope that these workers will forget about America and put their energies to work to achieve the dreams of the working classes in Belgium, to change institutions, to fight for their women and children and to get an education and the franchise.

About the same time the paper repeats the need to pay the workers on a weekly basis and maintains that this should not be left to the judgment of the employers. It is a matter of justice and therefore a question to be regulated and enforced by the government.

When the convention of the Workers' Party is opened in Ghent, the event is celebrated with a big parade throughout the city. Noticeable in the parade are the many red flags and posters carried by the demonstrating workers. The latter are very interesting since they reflect the feelings of the people committed to the Socialist cause. Most numerous are the placards demanding an enlarged franchise and several ask for education and human rights.

The convention itself makes a tumultuous start since the very first discussion centers around the freedom of the press. The debate is even more heated when the Juni 13 demonstration is discussed. Since the mayor of Brussels has threatened to forbid the march, several delegates suggest civil disobedience in that event. Others however, prefer to hold several demon-
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strations in all major cities simultaneously if Mayor Buls refuses permission to march in the capital 27.

Another touchy problem foremost on the minds of the Socialist conventioners is the "international regulation of work". The discussion, held during the second session, is dominated by delegate Cesar de Paepe, who being a medical doctor himself, insists with vigor upon the enforcement of health measures in hazardous industries. The doctor cites the example of Switzerland where steps have been taken by the government 28. The wish is expressed that an international convention will be held on this issue and this proposal gets the immediate endorsement of the eloquent British delegate, Headingly, and the Ghent Socialist leader E. Anseele.

After studying the international legislation, the convention comes to an unanimous conclusion which states the seriousness of the problem. It adds some specific stipulations: "the abolition of child labor; the regulation of the work of adolescents so that they can combine their apprenticeship with further education; the abolition of work of women in those areas of the industry which are incompatible with the nature of women; the fixing of a normal workday; the establishment of health precautions in plants, factories and mines; legal control of the use of poisonous material in manufacturing; and lastly, severe control with regard to the introduction of the new machinery if this is concurrent with substantial increase in unemployment." 29

The report recommends that the Belgian government imitate the Swiss initiative in order to achieve international legislation 30. At the insistence of the Ghent delegation, the convention includes some remarks on the international economic crisis — which is caused by the imbalance between products and the use thereof — and on the situation in the Belgian coal mine industry. With respect to the latter, the report suggest two remedies: the absorption of the coal mines by the State and the organization of "chapters of miners" who will negotiate with the government on the exploitation of the mines 31.

Although there was an obvious difference of opinion between several leading delegates on the expediency of discussions on the turmoil in Liège and Charleroi 32, the convention does not refrain from concluding the meeting with a statement blaming the Belgian government for the crisis. Besides expressing the classical sympathy for "the comrades in distress", the communiqué points to the uselessness of the Commission du Travail and reiterates its belief that only universal franchise will solve the problem 33.

The socialist April convention in Ghent certainly constitutes the broadest single contribution to "Socialist remedial literature" with respect to the March crisis in Belgium. The vigorous tone of the documents is beyond
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doubt a sign of the increased confidence in the Socialist movement. This confidence is only a reflex of the ever-growing frustration in the working classes. The awareness of the Socialist leadership that here is a unique opportunity to catch the imagination of the lower classes is amply illustrated by the enthusiasm of the Vooruit and the uneasiness of both Catholics and Liberals.

During the first week of May, several small town mayors in East Flanders forbid the sale of the Vooruit on the newsstands. The anger of the paper is justified but simultaneously, the editors realize the value of such a blatant oppression of the rights of the press. Such activity on the part of the bourgeois "officialdom" makes the Socialist cause more attractive to the general public and Vooruit is convinced that it will gain from this martyrdom 84.

In a dispute with the Liberals, the paper gives a harsh and bitter editorial whip-lashing at the address of the outstanding Liberal Statesman, Frère-Orban 85. When the aging Liberal claims that the Socialist philosophy understands collectivism in the sense of the "coal mines for the coal miners", Vooruit retorts bitterly: "This man, Prime Minister for many years, knows less than a simple workman." 86. The Socialist paper then explains that the "collectivism of Socialism intends that the property, the factories and the work materials belong to the State or the local government and that these industrial institutions are to be governed by chambers composed of workers, engaged in these particular industries." 87. This is not just a Socialist opinion the paper argues; it is the only way to solve the industrial crisis and the workers' problem. The conviction that Socialism, definitely after universal franchise is a fact, will mean the salvation of the working classes is eloquently described in an editorial announcing the candidacy of comrade Anseele for the provincial elections of May 23 88. Realizing that Anseele's candidacy for the provincial council is more a matter of principle than a political possibility or practicullity, the Vooruit still insists that this "historic first" means a great leap forward for the Socialist cause 89. The campaign surrounding this impossible candidacy is intense and widely covered. It takes the entire front page from May 14 up to May 23, the day of the election. The candidacy of Anseele is viewed as a "medicine" to cure the social discomforts of the times. The pride of Vooruit has no limits when the paper presents its leader. To vote for him will mean more for the progress of the workers than all the bourgeois articles and work commissions combined 40. When the candidate campaigns he insists that he expects many of the small bourgeoisie to cast their vote for him. He even expresses the hope that the bourgeoisie at-large will realize the seriousness of the situation and will join the lower bourgeoisie in support of
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a worker-candidate. Anseele does not have a specific program which would differ from the general principles laid down by the Belgian Workers’ Party. He expands regularly on the need of universal suffrage as a necessity of historical growth and he urges legislation to prevent disasters like the ones in Charleroi. For the rest, one finds Anseele’s campaign mostly directed against the Catholic cabinet in power, the Liberals, the priests, the army, and the Commission du Travail. All of them are considered incompetent and without genuine interest for the plight of the workers.

When the election returns are in and the Socialist candidate pulls about 20% of the votes, the Vooruit shows reserved enthusiasm and satisfaction: “We knew that we could not win but our party gained votes without any increase in the franchise. Bravo and Forward.”

One may wonder if the Anseele candidacy for a public office has to be discussed in the frame of “remedies for the social crisis of March”. Indeed, it may appear to be part of the political growth of Socialism in Ghent and Belgium. However, related to the contribution of the Vooruit, one cannot fail to recognize that it was the paper’s intention to project Anseele’s candidacy as one of the most concrete remedies to ameliorate the conditions of the lower classes. That this candidacy was concurrent with a reaction against the March events is part of the contingencies of history. There is a remarkable side aspect to Anseele’s first attempt in political life: in the weeks preceding the elections he was summoned before the Gent Court for lèse-majesté. The political analyst may believe that it was expedient to promote Anseele’s candidacy in such circumstances. At first sight, one can only conclude that it enhanced the candidate’s popularity among the lower classes since he became more and more a martyr in the eyes of popular opinion. Vooruit was an essential instrument in building such an image.

The eloquence of the paper is once more manifested when Mayor Buls of Brussels officially refuses a permit to the Belgian Workers’ Party to demonstrate on June 13. Vooruit is angered by this untimely decision but admits that Buls is not the real culprit. “It is the protracted class of Liberal and Catholic opinion, which prevents this demonstration.”

The paper, with justification, points to the violation of the workers’ constitutional rights to congregate. On the other hand, Buls made the decision on the grounds of protection of public safety, which constitutes a permissible legal reason for his decision. The mayor explained that he did not have adequate police forces and that there was evidence that guns had been sold to prospective demonstrators, and that the railroad company could not take care of the increase of transportation. For these very reasons, Buls refuses permission for a provincial demonstration for which the secretary
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of the Workers' Party, Joseph Maheu, had applied immediately after the national demonstration was forbidden. During the first weeks of June, Vooruit reports in emotional editorials, articles and notes on the progress of the trials of De Fuisseaux and Anseele. On this occasion the paper elaborates frequently on some of the important Socialist aims, notably universal suffrage. With regard to this issue it is interesting to note that the accusation against Alfred De Fuisseaux, sent by the prosecutor general to the Court of the Brabant Province, states that the pamphlet, with a red cover, entitled Le Catechisme du Peuple, written by De Fuisseaux, attacks the basic institutions and laws of the country, under the disguise of a plea for universal suffrage. The catechism is called a "harbinger of the grave incidents" in Liége and Charleroi; and the prosecutor points out that the first strikes break out on the payday of the first fortnight after the publication of the pamphlet. No matter how the legal authorities view the catechism, be it either as an attack against the laws of the country or an assault on the person of the King, — as is stated in the act of accusation, — is it beyond any doubt that the author's intention was a fervent plea for the cause of universal franchise. Besides this repetitious appeal for this all-important goal, De Fuisseaux argues for some other remedies as well to improve the conditions of the workers. Foremost on his mind is the need to associate: "all the workers have to join the Parti Ouvrier. As soon as they are united, they will be masters." The latter proposal is only second to universal suffrage: it will solve the problem of the "slavery of the worker" and make him a free man (p. 2 and p. 4); equity in taxation will only be achieved after universal suffrage is a fact (pp. 8-11); limits have to be set to the scandalous expenses of the King and the army (pp. 11-13) and justice will come to the industries and factories (pp. 15-16).

Anseele and De Fuisseaux are both found guilty of lèse-majesté and Vooruit publishes the picture of both on the front page on the very same day. There is little regret since the paper sees that the trial has enhanced the popularity and prestige of the Socialist cause. There is a peculiar but definite enthusiasm in the halls and rooms of the Vooruit building after the Anseele trial. Thousands of well-wishers have flocked to the center of Socialism in Ghent and the crowds listen eagerly to Van Beveren who attacks the Jesuit government, the injustice of the court and the lack of freedom in the country. However, he adds that "all these injustices and Anseele's trial will open the eyes of all the workers and they will unite."
The enthusiasm and emotion reached a climax when Anseele thanks the gathering in romantic and ebullient language for their affection and he appeals to them to form one front. He tells the people how his trip to jail, for six months, will be a triumphant march for the party 55.

When June 13 arrives and no popular commotion appears imminent, the Vooruit finds that the "bourgeoisie has granted the workers a moral triumph by forbidding the demonstration" and that this particular day will bear fruit of great political importance. The editorial ends quite abruptly with the statement that "the 13th of June will be the beginning of the decisive class struggle in Belgium, which lead ultimately to the disappearance of all classes." 56

An improvised Workers' Congress on June 14 gives the leadership of the Socialist movement an opportunity to express itself after the frustration of the canceled demonstration 57. With a practical unanimity (102 against 1 and 7 abstentions) the congress decides to continue "legal propaganda" for the Socialist cause. They remain convinced that this remains still the best vehicle to remedy the social conditions in the country. Representative Van Beveren adds to this decision that the "best propaganda tool is the cooperative", not only because it is the best way to unite the workers but more so because it is the best guarantee for a successful strike 58.

Besides the cooperatives, which have to be modeled after the Vooruit example, several delegates urge that the party should participate in all the elections in the country and that the major Socialist papers Le Peuple and Vooruit have to inform the public about those bourgeois candidates who fail to support the workers' cause 59. It is the first time that such a political move is verbalized but all the delegates at this Brussels Convention do agree on the usefulness of this suggestion.

The second major point on the agenda, after the question of the propaganda, is the intricate problem of a "general strike". Many of the representatives of the workers' associations favor the use of this ultimate weapon and Cesar de Paepe, of Brussels, exclaims: "The gravest revolutionary movement is the legal movement. The general strike is a legal means!" 60 This popular delegate of Brussels gets a standing ovation when he ends with a request to organize a national demonstration for universal suffrage on August 15 61. The president of the assembly, E. Anseele, points out the great dangers of a general strike since such a move contains the seeds of revolt. The propaganda has to be very cautious; the organization of such a strike very carefully planned and the guide lines of the central committee of the
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party have to be followed scrupulously. The hero of the Ghent Socialists replies to a delegate of Brussels, who advocates revolution and anarchism as the sole remedies to change the social injustices, and admonishes all the comrades not to listen to the anarchist voices but to follow intelligent counsel and common sense.

The remarks of Anseele become even more concrete when during the following week several strikes break out in the Hainaut province and the danger is imminent that it may become a general strike. The origin of this renewed tension in the coal mine industry is nothing but hunger, according to Vooruit. But, the paper continues, it remains a grave mistake to begin a strike without a penny in the strike-fund. Insufficient wages, exhaustive work and the desperation of hunger have driven the hopeless miners to vandalism which is to be deplored, Vooruit explains, but which ought to open the eyes of the government. There is no cause to send troops but there is to send food and clothing.

The Ghent paper complains bitterly about the lack of organization, an exact reflection of Anseele’s philosophy, but does not hide its sympathy for the frustrated comrades who scream for bread, the Republic and France! Vooruit, very disturbed about the events in the Borinage, interprets these disorders as the “final sign for the decisive battle to redeem the fourth estate.”

Vooruit refers to Germany, where Bismarck is implementing Socialist principles; to England, where the government is seriously handling the Irish question — “which is a social question par excellence” according to Vooruit; to France, where the authorities interfere in the dispute between miners and capitalists; and concludes that Belgium is still in the first phase of the class struggle. This leads to growing frustration and despair among the lower classes; it will be manifest in the August 15 demonstration planned by the Workers’ Party. If the government fails to comply to this latest request and forbids the march in sinister fashion as it did in the case of June 13, then only one peaceful weapon is left: the general strike.

Although the final paragraph of this editorial sounds like an ultimatum to the Belgian government, it is obvious that the Socialist paper hopes fervently that permission to demonstrate will be granted. The Vooruit is indeed, not looking forward to a general strike since the experiences in the Borinage prove that the workers are insufficiently organized to strike on such a vast scale. The appeal to organize is a stereotype for the paper and looks like a fixation to the outsider. However, one may not dismiss it as such, since “organization” will definitely prove to be the strength of the
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lower classes in their struggle in society. In this contest one can understand the rather startling appeal of Vooruit to help the worker in the Walloon provinces to get organized: "It is of utmost importance that Socialist missionaries are trained for Charleroi and the Borinage." 71.

When strikes break out in the flax mills of J. Casier, industrialist and senator, and others as well, the Central Committee of the Socialist Workers' Party of Ghent denies any connection with these strikes. The party refuses to support officially the activities of the strikers even though, simultaneously, they offer their sympathy by opening a strikers' fund for the victims 72. Severe criticism is in store for police brutality and bourgeois indifference; but also for the strikers who have failed to organize better. Vooruit appeals for composure and unity among the Ghent workers 73. The paper does this on principle and also because of the bad reputation it is receiving in the bourgeois press which is daily blaming the Socialists for the disorderly conduct of the workers in the Ghent industry. These allegations infuriate the Vooruit and the reaction against Catholic and Liberal press is vigorous 74. When La Flandre Libérée claims that the workers are uneducated and stipulates that this is the major cause of their servitude and poverty and hence that the solution lies in compulsory education, and La Chronique answers that this particular measure will not provide more bread for the workers' families and demands therefore that major public works are initiated, Vooruit enters the debate as an arbiter 75. After granting that both papers are correct, but that La Chronique shows more practicality, the Socialist paper elaborates further on what the Socialists think ought to be done. State and government definitely need to intervene dramatically to provide food, clothing and work because the greedy capitalists will not move on their own initiative. But, according to Vooruit, this is far from practical and therefore, there remains the only alternative solution: that the great land-owners be expropriated, since this is the only justified measure and even the only practical one." 76

This rather unusually adamant statement of the Vooruit can only be explained in the context of the strikes which are springing up in all the factories in Ghent. The paper is certainly embarrassed by this phenomenon since it refuses to be associated with it. On the other hand, one can see that the situation makes the editorial staff nervous and hence it proposes an extreme proposal. Vooruit on several occasions will be very harsch in judging the Catholic and Liberal factory owners in the Ghent industry 77.

After publications of a manifesto, addressed to all the workers, demanding universal suffrage or else a general strike 78, Vooruit publishes another
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demanding true freedom of the press \(^{79}\) and culminating in a third with regard to the right to strike \(^{80}\).

The latter issue is of course, a very sensitive one, since it really is the only weapon in the hands of the workers. It is occasioned by a statement of the governor of the Hainaut province advocating a ruling against striking. The Vooruit is understandably furious and speaks about prisons, gallows, galleys, war on capitalism and the nobility, and for „state, power and capital for the workers.” \(^{81}\)

When the Commission du Travail is operating in Ghent, Vooruit gives ample coverage to its activities, especially when Anseele and his fellow Socialists present their views \(^{82}\). In the aftermath of the hearings, Vooruit recommends several legal measures and reforms in a solid editorial. Leading the list of recommendations is the very practical suggestion that a law has to be introduced forbidding employers to pay their workers in food stuffs. Further, a law is needed which would penalize those capitalists who coerce their employees to buy in their own stores or those of relatives and affiliates \(^{83}\). In the same order one has to understand Vooruit’s appeal to take legal action against factory owners who build or buy workers’ houses in the immediate neighborhood of the factory \(^{84}\). Vooruit recommends the serious study of the cooperatives in England and hopes that the government will give substantial loans with the lowest interest rate to newly established cooperatives \(^{85}\). The paper urges resolute legal action to force the employers to pay the workers their wages on a weekly basis. The editorial ends with repetition of the requested financial and legal help for the cooperatives, which is the best thing the government can do if it wants to save Belgium from a catalysm: which would be „the supremacy of the wealthy.” \(^{86}\)

During the hearings, several topics on the social conditions of the lower classes are discussed and to no great surprise, many of the witnesses reflect the Socialist philosophy. The distinguished members of the Commission are strong advocates of child labor, although admittedly they see the need for tight regulation so that abuses would be eliminated. M. de Ridder and Janssens, members of the committee, find that occasionally children of eight and nine years could be admitted to work \(^{87}\). Another member, M. Lammens, considers twelve an adequate minimum age, while the aristocrat M. ’t Kint de Roodebeke finds fault with the parents who will lie about their children’s age to make a few more pennies \(^{88}\).
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tated by the continuation of this injustice.
\(^{84}\) Vooruit, July 17 & 18, p. 1, c. 1.
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\(^{86}\) Ibid., July 17 & 18, p. 1, c. 2.
\(^{87}\) Ibid., July 19, p. 1, c. 1.
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The reaction of the Socialist leadership, present at this public meeting, is unanimous and firm. M. Foucaert expresses the wish to see education for children obligatory up until fourteen years and E. Anseele gives a more detailed, and definitely very interesting, projection of what the Socialists want with respect to child labor. He suggests the following: "Compulsory education until fourteen years of age; from fourteen to sixteen, a half day's work and three hours of study; from sixteen to eighteen, three quarters of the day spent on the job and one quarter devoted to vocational training; as soon as they reach eighteen, a regular workday would be a fact." One cannot help but be impressed by the concrete character of Anseele's proposal, which seems to imply that it was not a question of inspiration on the spur of the moment, but the result of previous constructive thinking. The Ghent Socialist leader voiced an interesting remark which had some value: that if the children worked less, their fathers would have more work opportunities.

The discussion of the labor of women which took place between the Socialist leaders and members of the Commission is stupifyingly short and superficial and lacking depth and originality. The Socialist Foucaert, Van Streydonck and Eeckhoute repeat the same complaints: they are against women working in industries hazardous to their health. Nothing is added to this over-simplification; not a single word is said about the duration of a workday or the conditions in the factories where the women have to work. The latter issue has been a much treated subject of Vooruit editorials and had angered many in the socialists ranks.

When the subject of work for men is brought up, most of the witnesses present ask for a decrease in work hours, whereupon M. Lammens replies that this measure would put the entire Belgian economy in jeopardy. All the members retort that in England and the United States, work hours have been reduced without any harmful effect upon the economy. M. Janssens, a colleague of M. Lammens, then makes the mistake of saying that the British people work faster than the Belgians. Thereupon follows an interesting dialogue:

"Foucaert (Socialist): Give us the same materials and mechanics and our people will match everyone else.
M. Janssens: Our population is slower, but more persistent; by working less hours, we would lose this advantage.
Van Beveren (Socialist): If the British workmen work harder and faster then this is to be explained by the simple fact that they are fed better.
M. Lammens (Commission): This is true.
Anseele: Which proves that they are paid better."
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Van Beveren, who throughout the hearings has been very articulate, explains to the Commission that a decrease in work hours would create more job opportunities for the unemployed. He specifies about 200,000 more jobs and Vooruit notes, with reserved pleasure, that „all the members of the Commission give signs of approval and agreement.”

The Socialists sum up their requests with respect to worker legislation with an appeal — voiced previously and regularly in Vooruit — to the Commission to study serious international legislation, as it is tentatively initiated in Switzerland. The distinguished members do admit its desirability but dismiss, with the same phlegm, the feasibility of such legislation.

During the same hearing on Friday, July 16, but not reported until July 20 in the Vooruit, the question of drunkenness is discussed. Van Beveren demands legislation but Anseele opposes any form of legislation in this connection if it does not reach to the causes of this evil, namely, „inadequate wages, indigence, unhealthy housing, dirt over-crowded neighbourhoods, bourgeois contempt for lower classes keeping the workers virtually locked up in their neighbourhoods and bars. Give the workers meat to eat and they will no longer need liquor to be happy.”

The hearings end with a report on the functioning of the „Boards of Arbitration” which points to the various deficiencies in the election of the members, the timing of the meetings and the over-burdening red tape.

Vooruit ends the report with the expression of gratitude from the president of the Commission to all the witnesses and representatives who have lent their support and full cooperation to the activities of the committee. The paper cannot resist denouncing the fact that the next meeting of the Commission with the representatives of industry and commerce will be held behind closed doors. „Why this secrecy? It only arouses suspicion among the workers!” Vooruit argues.

On the day preceding the meeting of industrialists and committee members, July 24, Vooruit publishes an open letter to all the members of the Commission. The demand is made that workers ought to be present at all the hearings for the sake of justice. Otherwise, this meeting with the capitalists will prove to be completely useless.

Although the paper is more interested in the progress of the Commission’s activities in Ghent, the Vooruit keeps an eye on what the investigations have brought to light in other places as well. The workers’ witnesses appearing before the distinguished panel in La Louvière, near Charleroi, have formulated certain demands for the miners. An impressive list which
includes the nine hours day; no women or children under fourteen in the mines; formation of councils of arbitration, partly elected by the workers; medi-care and pension funds; legal recognition of the workers' association; minimum wages, internationally recognized; wash rooms in the factories; and universal suffrage. The list and the tone of the demands indicate a strong Socialist influence, even though Vooruit does not mention it. Perhaps one may venture to say that since there is no officially organized party or union in La Louvière, the paper is not likely to admit or claim any Socialist inspiration.

Vooruit is not hesitant to talk about Socialist influence wherever there seems to be any popular turmoil, as in the case of the troubles in Amsterdam at the end of July. Although the paper rejects bitterly accusation of the bourgeois press which blame the Socialists for the violence, the Socialist mouthpiece proudly admits in the same paragraph the tremendous growth of the Socialist ranks in that area. Besides making the expected comparisons with the upheaval of March in Charleroi, the Vooruit endeavors to make the Dutch Socialists appear as the true victims of police brutality and bourgeois ignorance. It is clearly proven that Vooruit is interested in the events in Amsterdam by the simple fact that the paper sends a special reporter to the Dutch capital to provide full coverage of the „great events.” The reporter does give an extensive review in a melodramatic style and with outspoken sympathy for the „poor suppressed people” who are systematically subjected to „police stupidity and arrogance”. The latter is the „immediate cause” which brought about the flare-up in violence but the „remote and most fundamental cause lies, of course, in the social inequalities.”

Vooruit is over-joyed by the tremendous expansion of Socialism because of all this turmoil, but with the same token the paper resents the persecution the Socialist press has to undergo from the capitalists. This persecution is a true injustice according to Vooruit since it defies all the freedom of dissent and since it is contrary to the law. The only way to stop this continuous insult to the workers, their organization and to the Socialist party in particular, the Vooruit pontificates, is the road to the immediate introduction of universal suffrage. This request has been voiced so frequently at the occasion of the hearings of the Commission at the end of July that the Vooruit is furious about the cool reception of this proposal by the committe. Indeed, many members dismiss the idea completely as utopian or at least as non-remedial for the social conditions in the country. Yet, Vooruit believes that universal suffrage is a „means, a road, a tool to realize great ideals. It is the vehicle of progress and it will
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The desire to make the Socialist demonstration an impressive show of popular strength is only equalled by the Vooruit's annoyance because of the many precautions taken by the authorities. The paper is infuriated by the concentration of military units around the capital which can be called upon if needed to maintain law and order.

When the memorable day has gone by, the Ghent paper is jubilant: "The 15th of August demonstration is the most beautiful day in the annals of the Workers' movement in Belgium since its very beginnings. The demonstration is a solemn occasion which has proven the strength of the party and the calm respectability of its members. The manifestation has made a deep and lasting impression upon the onlookers and has created respect and sympathy in all of them." The demonstration gets ample coverage in the next couple of days but is soon diffused in several minor polemics with other papers. One of these centers around the number of demonstrators, which was originally estimated by Vooruit at 70,000 but retracted the following day and reduced to 30,000 with the excuse that there had been a mistake in the printing but that, there could have been 70,000 were it not for the immense misery which kept so many home!

In order to make an end to this misery of the lower classes, in order to make an end to the grave injustices in the country existing since its beginnings in 1830, and in order to appease the intoxicated mood of the populace and to reduce the heat of violence, there is only one remedy: universal suffrage. This is the core of the message sent to the Prime Minister Beernaert by the Workers' Party on the occasion of the demonstration of August 15. "To refuse to satisfy this desire of the large majority of the people of our country is to demand a crisis which may cause terrible consequences."

In the aftermath of the march, Vooruit editorializes several times on the need to organize, on the fear of the bourgeoisie, justice for the workers, the meaning of the "red flags" and the international character of Socialism. Certainly with the latter in mind, the Ghent paper reports regularly...
on Socialist activities abroad. When the International Conference of Socialist Organizations convenes in Paris on August 26, 27 and 28, Vooruit proudly elaborates on the contribution of the Belgian comrades. Dr. de Paepe discusses the legislation on health regulations in the industry\(^\text{118}\) and E. Anseele appeals for more international cooperation on the practical level. The Ghent Socialist leader receives a standing ovation when it is announced that he has to leave the gathering early to go to jail in Ghent on September 1\(^\text{119}\). On September 3, Vooruit publishes a letter of Anseele on the front page — very bombastic and emotional — in which the leader strongly pleads for closer cooperation among the Socialists, for more meetings, for universal suffrage and an appeal not to wait his return from jail to start the great work of Socialism\(^\text{120}\).

On the same day, the paper gives the summary of the suggestions proposed and unanimously adopted by the International Convention in Paris, on Saturday, August 28. The list of priorities is headed by the demand of „prohibition of work for children under fourteen years”, followed by the urgent request for special protection for children and women in the factories, the eight hour workday, work regulations, medical assistance and minimum wages\(^\text{121}\).

On September 5, Vooruit appeared with black mourning borders on the occasion of Anseele’s entry into jail\(^\text{122}\). This is kept up for six months, with the exception from October 21 until November 2 when the Socialist leader leaves jail to defend his candidacy in parliamentary elections in Brussels\(^\text{123}\). The latter turn out to be rather discouraging for the Ghent hero who tried hard for the Brussels seat in Parliament as a representative of the Workers’ Party\(^\text{124}\). Vooruit does not hide its great disappointment at the electoral defeat, and more so since it also entails the candidate’s return to jail, cited as another example of the „obstinate intolerance of the Jesuit government.”\(^\text{125}\)

The entire hectic episode of Anseele’s commuting between freedom and jail gives the Socialist press a unique tool for propaganda. This is the prime concern! The best means is the Vooruit itself and therefore, a massive campaign has to be launched to spread the daily. Furthermore, more members
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\(^{122}\) Ibid., Sept. 5, p. 1, c. 1 : A short notice to the public explains that „out of respect for our beloved spokesman and writer, Edw. Anseele, who has to spend six months in jail for his love of the people, our paper will appear in black mourning border till our friend will return to our midst to work and fight.”
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are needed for the bakery cooperative, more funds for the sick and the strikers, and last but not least, more meetings have to be organized 126.

The Vooruit editorializes on the communal elections of 1887 with great enthusiasm since the „only legal way to become masters of the state is through elections." 127 The electoral platform lists as its first goal universal suffrage, followed by a request for separation of Church and State 128; then obligatory, free and secular education for all 129; nationalization of railroads and communications and the expropriation of the coal mines and factories 130. The electoral campaign is not only directed to this program but is simultaneously geared „against the detestable clericals and the sleepy Liberals 131. This feature of the campaign will cause many bitter polemics with the Liberal and clerical press in Ghent. The Vooruit does not wait till the election time of the following year to attack both Catholic and Liberal parties and individuals in Ghent 132.

The anticlerical sentiment of the Socialist paper is especially manifest on the occasion of the Catholic Social Congres of Liège and the entire event is reported in this colored perspective 133. „The convention of the clerical comedians has made the ridiculous statement that the workers are exploited in a scandalous manner. They finally recognize what we have been telling them for years.” 134 The arrogant tone prevails throughout the report and shows a great deal of resentment and hatred against the Church and the clergy 135.

The anticlericalism of the Vooruit is only equalled by the paper’s anti-royalism. The speech from the throne by Leopold II is ridiculed in the Socialist weekly Toekomst 136 and repeatedly denounced in Vooruit itself 137. The King has been the target of Socialist anger throughout the year and many allusions are made at his person at a variety of occasions
— meetings, demonstrations, strikes and Anseele’ s trial 138.

The last weeks of 1886 are filled with irregular disputes in the press about military service and its probable and necessary re-organization. Vooruit engages in the debate 139 and shows an obvious preference for what they label „the Swiss model”, a true people’s army and compulsory service for all for a limited time 140.

December is rather quiet and Vooruit ends this „fruitful year of 1886” with an appeal for a stronger and more elaborate propaganda. „1886 was a good year, notwithstanding the terrible persecutions and jail sentences inflicted upon us by class justice. The many demonstrations of Socialist strength were eminently successful and the movement has grown in the country.” 141 Satisfaction and pride in past achievements is eloquently expressed in the Toekomst 142, in a survey of the year. Referring to the disastrous events of March, the Socialist weekly points to the unjust accusations of the ruling classes who have tried, in vain, to blame Socialism and the Socialist organizations for the bloody insurrection of the impoverished workers. „But in vain! The flames of the hunger revolt of Charleroi and the murderous fire which killed the poor gave an electrical shock to the hearts of thousands who were until now indifferent.” 143 Never before and nowhere else in Europe has there been such an immense Socialist propaganda and never have Socialist lived through glorious days as we did here.” 144 The weekly is elated about the great initiative of the Vooruit in Socialist leadership and is convinced that the sad imprisonment of Anseele and Constant de Witte 145 will enlarge Socialist pride and will be the best guarantee for a better and stronger propaganda in 1887 146.

Thus ends the troubled year of 1886. The anger of Socialists merges into a growing hope and enthusiasm for the future. The conviction that history ultimately will do justice to their cause gives the Socialist leadership all the confidence they need to spread their gospel successfully among the lower classes. In this drive, the Vooruit takes a substantial part and deserves great credit for effective propaganda.

(138) A prime example of vicious mockery with respect to the person of the King is the article „Leopold without Fear”, Toekomst, n. 30, Nov. 21, p. 1, c. 1, 2, 3, 4.
(139) Vooruit, Nov. 12, p. 1, c. 1, 2 : „Soldiers and Workers”; Nov. 20, p. 1, c. 1, 2, 3 : „Everyone Soldier - Also the Priests”; Toekomst, Nov. 21, p. 1, c. 4; and Toekomst n. 42, Feb. 13, 1887, p. 1, c. 1, 2, 3 : „A Socialist Army Organization”.
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(143) Toekomst, Jan. 2, p. 1, c. 2.
(144) Ibid., p. 1, c. 4.
(145) Constant de Witte was the president of the Vooruit Cooperative and was sent to jail on Nov. 15, 1886. This incident deeply stirred the emotions of the Socialists in Ghent. The full story dramatized, appeared in Vooruit, Nov. 16, p. 1, c. 1, 2, 3.